CSCI 1300, Section 100 – Fractal Grading Rubric

_ Assg't #:___3___

Instructor Name:	Student Name: _	Date:
(This F	Rubric is meant as a guide only. Actual grades	may fall in-between specific ranges outlined below.)
Task Success (40%)	Style (Cleanliness & Readability) (20%)	Solution Explanation (40%)
12	6	12
Program performs all assigned tasks without error.	• Code is easy to read & follow along execution path	• Student clearly explains functionality of code during evaluation.
• It is robust and does not crash given any set of input values.	• Code follows all requirements of the style guide.	Explanations are clear and design decisions are well-justified.
 Code compiles with no errors or warnings. 	 Code does not make an easy job appear hard. 	
8	4	8
 Program performs assigned tasks, but does not adequately handle all input. Code contains unresolved warnings or a small error upon compilation. Code fails to perform one or more assigned tasks. 	One or more of the above conditions is not met.	 Student is able to explain their solution, but has difficulty answering specific design questions. Student may not be able to confidently justify decisions.
4	2	4
 Program has multiple warnings or errors. Program has runtime errors or mishandles important aspects of the assignment. 	 Two of the above conditions are clearly not met. Code is very awkward or difficult to read. 	 Student has trouble making comments about their own algorithms. Cannot answer in-depth questions. Student offers little justification for decisions.
0	0	0
 Program does not run, or does not adequately perform any of its objectives. Unrecoverable errors exist in source 	 No comments present in code. Coding standards were entirely ignored. Code is difficult (or impossible) to 	Student cannot (or will not) explain decisions or make any justifications of code.

decipher.

Final Grade:	/
--------------	---

code.

TOTAL: