

# Lecture 24: Domain-Driven Design (Part 2)

Kenneth M. Anderson

Object-Oriented Analysis and Design

CSCI 4448/6448 - Spring Semester, 2005



## Goals for this lecture

- Review (most of) the material presented in chapters 4 and 5 of Domain-Driven Design
  - Layered Architecture
  - Associations, Entities, Value Objects, Services, Modules
- Present examples that illustrate these concepts



# Layered Architecture

- Modern applications are often implemented using a layered architecture; different layers support separation of concerns
- A typical breakdown consists of the following layers
  - User Interface
  - Application
  - Domain
  - Infrastructure
- The key is to isolate domain concepts from system concepts
  - “To apply our best thinking, we need to be able to look at the elements of our model and see them as a system. We must not be forced to pick [domain concepts] out of a much larger mix of objects, like trying to identify constellations in the night sky”

# Quick Example

- Shipping Application: Select Destination City for Cargo
  - We need code that
    - places a list selection widget on screen (UI)
    - queries the database for all possible cities (Infrastructure)
    - interprets user events and validates them (Application)
    - associates the selected city with the cargo (Domain)
    - commits change to database (Infrastructure)
  - The domain layer constitutes only a small portion of the entire software system, yet its importance is disproportionate to its size
    - (for reasons covered in lecture 23)

# Basic Principles of Layers

- Dependencies between layers should exist in only one direction
- As such, within a layer, an object can depend on
  - other objects in its layer
  - and objects in layers “below” it
  - See example on page 72
- If an object in a lower layer needs to communicate with an object in a layer above it needs to use indirect mechanisms, such as
  - callbacks
    - an “upper” object passes itself as a parameter to a “lower” object after implementing a predefined callback interface; the “lower” object uses this reference to communicate back up
  - the Observer pattern

# Domain Layer

- Objects within the domain layer are elements of “the model”
  - They should be isolated from the UI, Application, and Infrastructure layers as much as possible
    - “The domain objects, free of the responsibility of displaying themselves, storing themselves, managing application tasks, and so forth, can be focused on expressing the domain model. This allows a model to evolve... to capture essential business knowledge and put it to work.”
  - The domain layer is where all of the concepts, behaviors, and rules specified for the model are implemented; the other layers should be devoid of “domain logic” as much as possible
    - Rather than implementing a domain rule in the application layer, have it call the domain layer and respond appropriately
      - e.g., a violation of a business rule might raise an exception in the domain layer, that is caught by the application layer, and displayed by the UI layer.

# Expressing the Model in Software

- Chapter 5 looks at issues that arise when establishing the link between a model and the software that implements it
  - “[Associations and Objects] are simple to conceive and to draw, but implementing them is a potential quagmire. [!]” — Page 81
- We will look at the following model-related concepts
  - Associations: Relationships between model concepts
  - Entities: Objects with identity that need to be tracked
  - Value Objects: Serve as attributes to describe other objects
  - Services: Something that is done for a client on request; services will mainly live within the technical layers of your software system (“display this domain concept”) but the domain layer will also need services to model domain-related activities

# Associations

- For every traversable association in the model, there is a mechanism in the software with the same properties
  - Ex.: an association between a customer and a sales representative
    - Represents, on one hand, “domain knowledge”
    - On the other hand, it also represents a pointer between two objects, or the result of a database lookup, etc.
  - Associations can be implemented in many ways
    - A one-to-many association can be implemented as
      - a collection class pointed to by an instance variable
      - it might be a getter method that queries a database
- Associations in the “real world”
  - Lots of many-to-many relationships, with many being bidirectional
    - Really hard to implement!

# Dealing with Associations

- There are three techniques for making associations manageable
  - Impose a traversal direction
  - Add a qualifier, effectively eliminating or reducing multiplicity
  - Eliminate nonessential associations (as dictated by the problem you are trying to solve)
- See examples of the first two techniques on pages 84-88

# Entities

- Some objects are not defined primarily by their attributes. They represent a thread of identity that runs through time and often across distinct representations
  - Consider the notion of “customer” in a typical business system
    - Customer may have a payment history
      - if its good, “status” will accrue; if its bad, the customer’s information may be transferred to a bill-collection agency
    - The same customer may be in the contact management software used by your company’s sales force
    - The customer may be “squashed flat” for storage in a database
    - If business stops, the customer may be placed in an archive
  - Each aspect of the customer may be implemented in multiple ways, using different representations and/or programming languages
    - They all represent the **SAME** customer however, and some means must exist to match them even though their attributes may be different

# Entities, continued

- An object defined primarily by its identity is called an Entity
  - They have life cycles that can radically change their form and content
  - Their identities must be defined so that they can be effectively tracked
    - This notion of identity is **DIFFERENT** from the identity mechanisms of programming languages; i.e., it is different from “a == b” and “a.equals(b)” that OO languages provide
  - Example
    - Two deposits of the same amount made to the same bank account on the same day are **NOT** identical; they are two separate entity objects in the banking domain
      - the objects representing the amounts **ARE** identical, however, and are most likely Value Objects (discussed next)

# Modeling Entities

- The key to modeling an entity object is to include only those attributes that are used to establish its identity or are commonly used to find or match it; include only those behaviors that support the task of maintaining its identity
  - All other behaviors and attributes should be placed in separate objects (some of which may also be Entities)
- See example page 94

## Designing the Identity Operation

- Each Entity must have a way of establishing its identity
  - Such that two instances of the same entity can be distinguished from one another, even if they both contain the same descriptive attributes (like our bank deposits from slide 11)
- Identity is often operationally established by
  - ensuring that a single attribute has a unique id
  - or ensuring that some combination of attribute values always produce a unique key
- Often the means for establishing identity require a careful study of the domain; what is it that humans do to distinguish the real-world counterparts of the entity object?

## Value Objects (aka Values)

- Some objects have no conceptual identity; these objects describe some aspect of a thing
  - A person may be modeled as an Entity with an identity, but that person's NAME is a Value object
- Values are instantiated to represent elements of a design that we care about only for WHAT they are, not WHO they are
  - Example values
    - Colors, Dates, Numbers, Strings, etc.
- Values are immutable; once created their values do not change
  - create values via factory methods; do not provide setter methods
  - operations that manipulate values produce new values as a result
  - Benefits: such objects can be easily shared
- See example on page 99

# Services

- In some situations, the clearest and most pragmatic design includes operations that do not conceptually belong to a single object; Rather than force the issue, we can follow the natural contours of the problem space and include **SERVICES** explicitly in the model
- Slippery slope: if you give up too often on finding a home for an operation, you will end up with a procedural programming solution
- On the other hand, if you force an operation into an object that doesn't fit that object's definition, you weaken that object's cohesion and make it more difficult to understand

# Services, continued

- A service is an operation offered as an interface that stands alone in the model; it is defined purely in terms of what it can do for a client
- Services tend to be named for what they can do (verbs rather than nouns)
- A good service has three characteristics
  - The operation relates to a domain concept that is not a natural part of an entity or value object
  - The interface is defined in terms of other elements of the domain model
  - The operation is stateless (does not maintain or update its own internal state in response to being invoked)



# Modules

- **Modules are groupings of model elements; They provide two views on a model**
  - one view provides details within an individual module
  - the second view provides information about relationships between modules
- **We shoot for modules with high cohesion and low coupling**
  - high cohesion: elements within a module all support the same purpose
  - low coupling: elements within a module primarily reference themselves; references to objects outside the module are kept to a minimum

# What's Next

- **Review the material of Chapter 6 of Domain-Driven Design**
  - **Life Cycles of Domain Objects**
    - Aggregates
    - Factories
    - Repositories