

1



# Lecture 20: Test-Driven Development

Kenneth M. Anderson

Object-Oriented Analysis and Design

CSCI 6448 - Spring Semester, 2005



2



## Credit where Credit is Due

- Some of the material for this lecture is taken from “Test-Driven Development” by Kent Beck; as such some of this material is copyright © Addison Wesley, 2003



March 17, 2005

© University of Colorado, Boulder, 2005



# Goals for this lecture

- Introduce the concept of Test-Driven Development (TDD)
- Present an example

# Test-Driven Development

- The idea is simple
  - No production code is written except to make a failing test pass
- Implication
  - You have to write test cases before you write code

# Writing Test Cases First

- This means that when you first write a test case, you may be testing code that does not exist
  - And since that means the test case will not compile, obviously the test case “fails”
  - After you write the skeleton code for the objects referenced in the test case, it will now compile, but also may not pass
  - So, then you write the simplest code that will then make the test case pass

# Example

- Consider writing a program to score the game of bowling
- You might start with the following test

```
public class TestGame extends TestCase {
    public void testOneThrow() {
        Game g = new Game();
        g.addThrow(5);
        assertEquals(5, g.getScore());
    }
}
```

- When you compile this program, it fails because the “Game” class does not yet exist

## Example, continued

- ☘ You would now write the Game class

```
public class Game {  
    public void addThrow(int pins) {  
    }  
    public int getScore() {  
        return 0;  
    }  
}
```

- ☘ The test code now compiles but the test will still fail

- ☘ In Test-Driven Design, Beck recommends taking small, simple steps
- ☘ So, we get the test case to compile before we get it to pass

## Example, continued

- ☘ Once we confirm that the test still fails, we would then write the simplest code to make the test case pass; that would be

```
public class Game {  
    public void addThrow(int pins) {  
    }  
    public int getScore() {  
        return 5;  
    }  
}
```

- ☘ The test case now passes!

# TDD Life Cycle

- The life cycle of test-driven development is
  - Quickly add a test
  - Run all tests and see the new one fail
  - Make a simple change
  - Run all tests and see them all pass
  - Refactor to remove duplication
- This cycle is followed until you have met your goal; note that this cycle simply adds testing to the “add functionality; refactor” loop of refactoring covered in the last two lectures

# TDD Life Cycle, continued

- Kent Beck likes to perform TDD within a Testing Framework, such as JUnit, within such frameworks
  - failing tests are indicated with a “red bar”
  - passing tests are shown with a “green bar”
- As such, the TDD life cycle is sometimes described as
  - “red bar/green bar/refactor”

## Example Background: Multi-Currency Money

- Lets design a system that will allow us to perform financial transactions with money that may be in different currencies
  - e.g. if we know that the exchange rate from Swiss Francs to U.S. Dollars is 2 to 1 then we can calculate expressions like
    - $5 \text{ USD} + 10 \text{ CHF} = 10 \text{ USD}$
  - or
    - $5 \text{ USD} + 10 \text{ CHF} = 20 \text{ CHF}$

## Starting From Scratch

- Lets start developing such an example
- How do we start?
  - TDD recommends writing a list of things we want to test
  - This list can take any format, just keep it simple
  - Example
    - $\$5 + 10 \text{ CHF} = \$10$  if rate is 2:1
    - $\$5 * 2 = \$10$

# First Test

- The first test case looks a bit complex, lets start with the second

- 5 USD \* 2 = 10 USD

- First, we write a test case

```
public void testMultiplication() {  
    Dollar five = new Dollar(5);  
    five.times(2);  
    assertEquals(10, five.amount)  
}
```

# Discussion on Test Case

```
public void testMultiplication() {  
    Dollar five = new Dollar(5);  
    five.times(2);  
    assertEquals(10, five.amount)  
}
```

- What benefits does this provide?
  - target class plus some of its interface
    - we are designing the interface of the Dollar class by thinking about how we would want to use it
  - We have made a testable assertion about the state of that class after we perform a particular sequence of operations

## What's Next?

- We need to update our test list
  - The test case revealed some things about Dollar that we will want to clean up
    - We are representing the amount as an integer, which will make it difficult to represent values like 1.5 USD; how will we handle rounding of fractional amounts?
    - Dollar.amount is public; violates encapsulation
    - What about side effects?; we first declared our variable as “five” but after we performed the multiplication it now equals “ten”

## Update Testing List

- The New List
  - 5 USD + 10 CHF = 10 USD
  - \$5 \* 2 = \$10
  - make “amount” private
  - Dollar side-effects?
  - Money rounding?
- Now, we need to fix the compile errors
  - no class Dollar, no constructor, no method: times(), no field: amount



## First version of Dollar Class

```
public class Dollar {  
    public Dollar(int amount) {  
    }  
  
    public void times(int multiplier) {  
    }  
    public int amount;  
}
```

☘ Now our test compiles and fails!

## Too Slow?

- ☘ Note: we did the simplest thing to make the test compile;
- ☘ now, we are going to do the simplest thing to make the test pass
- ☘ Is this process too slow?
  - ☘ Yes, as you get familiar with the TDD life cycle you will gain confidence and make bigger steps
  - ☘ No, taking small simple steps avoids mistakes; beginning programmers try to code too much before invoking the compiler; they then spend the rest of their time debugging!

# How do we make the test pass?

- Here's one way

```
public void times(int multiplier) {  
    amount = 5 * 2;  
}
```

- The test now passes, we received a “green bar”!

- Now, we need to “refactor to remove duplication”

- But where is the duplication?

- Hint: its between the Dollar class and the test case

# Refactoring

- To remove the duplication of the test data and the hard-wired code of the times method, we think the following

- “We are trying to get a 10 at the end of our test case and we’ve been given a 5 in the constructor and a 2 was passed as a parameter to the times method”

- So, lets hook things up

## First version of Dollar Class

```
public class Dollar {  
    public Dollar(int amount) {  
        this.amount = amount;  
    }  
  
    public void times(int multiplier) {  
        amount = amount * multiplier;  
    }  
  
    public int amount;  
}
```

🍷 Now our test compiles and passes, and we didn't have to cheat!

## One loop complete!

🍷 Before writing the next test case, we update our testing list

- 🍷 ~~5 USD + 10 CHF = 10 USD~~
- 🍷  $\$5 * 2 = \$10$
- 🍷 make "amount" private
- 🍷 Dollar side-effects?
- 🍷 Money rounding?

## One more example

- ♣ Lets address the “Dollar Side-Effects” item and then move on to general lessons
- ♣ So, lets write the next test case
  - ♣ When we called the times operation our variable “five” was pointing at an object whose amount equaled “ten”; not good
    - ♣ the times operation had a side effect which was to change the value of a previously created “value object”
    - ♣ Think about it, as much as you might like to, you can’t change a 5 dollar bill into a 500 dollar bill; the 5 dollar bill remains the same throughout multiple financial transactions

## Next test case

- ♣ The behavior we want is

```
public void testMultiplication() {
    Dollar five = new Dollar(5);
    Dollar product = five.times(2);
    assertEquals(10, product.amount);
    product = five.times(3);
    assertEquals(15, product.amount);
    assertEquals(5, five.amount);
}
```
- ♣ Note: the last “assert” is redundant; it is implicitly shown to be true by the second “assert”; I decided to make it explicit

## Test fails

- ❊ The test fails because it won't compile;
- ❊ We need to change the signature of the times method; previously it returned void and now it needs to return Dollar

```
public Dollar times(int multiplier) {  
    amount = amount * multiplier;  
    return null;  
}
```

- ❊ The test compiles but still fails; as Kent Beck likes to say "Progress!"

## Test Passes

- ❊ To make the test pass, we need to return a new Dollar object whose amount equals the result of the multiplication

```
public Dollar times(int multiplier) {  
    return new Dollar(amount * multiplier);  
}
```

- ❊ Test Passes; Cross "Dollar Side Effects?" off the testing list; second loop complete! (there was no need to refactor in this case);

## Discussion of the Example

- ❁ There is still a long way to go
  - ❁ only scratched the surface
- ❁ But
  - ❁ we saw the life cycle performed twice
  - ❁ we saw the advantage of writing tests first
  - ❁ we saw the advantage of keeping things simple
  - ❁ we saw the advantage of keeping a testing list to keep track of our progress
- ❁ Plus, as we write new code, we will know if we are breaking things because our old test cases will fail if we do; if the old tests stay green, we can proceed with confidence

## Principles of TDD

- ❁ Testing List
  - ❁ keep a record of where you want to go;
    - ❁ Beck keeps two lists, one for his current coding session and one for “later”; You won’t necessarily finish everything in one go!
- ❁ Test First
  - ❁ Write tests before code, because you probably won’t do it after
  - ❁ Writing test cases gets you thinking about the design of your implementation; does this code structure make sense? what should the signature of this method be?

# Principles of TDD, continued

## ☘ Assert First

- ☘ How do you write a test case?
  - ☘ By writing its assertions first!
- ☘ Suppose you are writing a client/server system and you want to test an interaction between the server and the client
  - ☘ Suppose that for each transaction, some string has to have been read from the server and that the socket used to talk to the server should be closed after the transaction
- ☘ Lets write the test case

# Assert First

```
public void testCompleteTransaction {  
    ...  
    assertTrue(reader.isClosed());  
    assertEquals("abc", reply.contents());  
}
```

- ☘ Now write the code that will make these asserts possible

## Assert First, continued

```
public void testCompleteTransaction {
    Server writer = Server(defaultPort(), "abc")
    Socket reader = Socket("localhost", defaultPort());
    Buffer reply = reader.contents();
    assertTrue(reader.isClosed());
    assertEquals("abc", reply.contents());
}
```

- Now you have a test case that can drive development
- if you don't like the interface above for server and socket; then write a different test case
- or refactor the test case, after you get the above test to pass

## Principles of TDD, continued

- Evident Data
  - How do you represent the intent of your test data
  - Even in test cases, we'd like to avoid magic numbers; consider this rewrite of our second "times" test case

```
public void testMultiplication() {
    Dollar five = new Dollar(5);
    Dollar product = five.times(2);
    assertEquals(5 * 2, product.amount);
    product = five.times(3);
    assertEquals(5 * 3, product.amount);
}
```

- Replace the "magic numbers" with expressions



## Summary

- Test-Driven Design is a “mini” software development life cycle that helps to organize coding sessions and make them more productive
  - Write a failing test case
  - Make the simplest change to make it pass
  - Refactor to remove duplication
  - Repeat!

## Reflections

- Test-Driven Design builds on the practices of Agile Design Methods
  - If you decide to adopt it, not only do you “write code only to make failing tests pass” but you also get
    - an easy way to integrate refactoring into your daily coding practices
    - an easy way to introduce “integration testing/building your system every day” into your work environment
      - because you need to run all your tests to make sure that your new code didn’t break anything; this has the side effect of making refactoring safe
    - courage to try new things, such as unfamiliar design pattern, because now you have a safety net