Lecture 26: No Silver Bullet

Kenneth M. Anderson Foundations of Software Engineering CSCI 5828 - Spring Semester, 2000

Today's Lecture

- Discuss the No Silver Bullet paper
- Brook's reflections on it after nine years

April 19, 2000

'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

No Silver Bullet

- "There is no single development, in either technology or management technique, which by itself promises even one order-ofmagnitude improvement within a decade in productivity, in reliability, in simplicity."
 - -- Fred Brooks, 1986
- i.e. There is no magical cure for the "software crisis"

Why? Essence and Accidents

- Brooks divides the problems facing software engineering into two categories
 - essence
 - difficulties inherent in the nature of software
 - accidents
 - difficulties related to the production of software
- Brooks argues that most techniques attack the accidents of software engineering

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 3 April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

An Order of Magnitude

- In order to improve the development process by a factor of 10
 - the accidents of software engineering would have to account for 9/10ths of the overall effort
 - tools would have to reduce accidents to zero
- Brooks
 - doesn't believe the former is true and
 - the latter is highly unlikely, even if it was true

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 5

Complexity

- Software entities are amazingly complex
 - No two parts (above statements) are alike
 - Contrast with materials in other domains
 - They have a huge number of states
 - Brooks claims they have an order of magnitude more states than computers (e.g. hardware) do
 - As the size of the system increases, its parts increase exponentially

The Essence

- Brooks divides the essence into four subcategories
 - complexity
 - conformity
 - changeability
 - invisibility
- Lets consider each in turn

April 19, 2000

'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

Complexity, continued

- Problem
 - You can't abstract away the complexity
 - Physics models work because they abstract away complex details that are not concerned with the essence of the domain; with software the complexity is part of the essence!
 - The complexity comes from the tight interrelationships between heterogeneous artifacts: specs, docs, code, test cases, etc.

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 7 April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

Complexity, continued

- Problems resulting from complexity
 - difficult team communication
 - product flaws
 - cost overruns
 - schedule delays
 - personnel turnover (loss of knowledge)

- unenumerated states (lots of them)
- lack of extensibility (complexity of structure)
- unanticipated states (security loopholes)
- project overview is difficult (impedes conceptual integrity)

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

Conformity, continued

- Other instances of conformity
 - Non-standard module or user interfaces
 - Arbitrary since each created by different people
 - not because a domain demanded a particular interface
 - Adapting to a pre-existing environment
 - May be difficult to change the environment
 - however if the environment changes, the software system is expected to adapt!
- It is difficult to plan for arbitrary change!

Conformity

- A significant portion of the complexity facing software engineers is arbitrary
 - Consider a system designed to support a particular business process
 - New VP arrives and changes the process
 - System must now conform to the (from our perspective) arbritrary changes imposed by the VP

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 10

Changeability

- Software is constantly asked to change
 - Other things are too, however
 - manufactured things are rarely changed
 - the changes appear in later models
 - automobiles are recalled infrequently
 - buildings are expensive to remodel
- With software, the pressures are greater
 - software = functionality (plus its malleable)
 - functionality is what often needs to be changed!

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 11 | April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 12

Invisibility

- Software is invisible and unvisualizable
 - In contrast to things like blueprints
 - here geometry helps to identify problems and optimizations of space
 - Its hard to diagram software
 - We find that one diagram may consist of many overlapping graphs rather than just one
 - flow of control, flow of data, patterns of dependency, etc.
- This lack of visualization deprives the engineer from using the brain's powerful visual skills

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 13

Promising Attacks on Essence

- Buy vs. Build
 - Don't develop software at all!
- Rapid Prototyping
 - Brooks buys in
- Incremental Development
 - grow, not build, software
- Great designers

What about X?

- Brooks argues that past breakthroughs solve accidental difficulties
 - High-level languages
 - Time-Sharing
 - Programming Environments
- New hopefuls
 - Ada, OO Programming, AI, expert systems,
 "automatic" programming, etc.

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 14

No Silver Bullet Refired

- Brooks reflects on the "No Silver Bullet" paper, ten years later
 - Lots of people have argued that there methodology is the silver bullet
 - If so, they didn't meet the deadline of 10 years!
 - Other people misunderstood what Brooks calls "obscure writing"
 - For instance, when he said "accidental", he did not mean "occurring by chance"

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 15 April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 16

The size of "accidental" effort

- Some people misunderstood his point with the "9/10ths" figure
 - Brooks doesn't actually think that accidental effort is 9/10th of the job
 - its much smaller than that
 - As a result, reducing it to zero (which is probably impossible) will not give you an order of magnitude improvement

17

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000

Obtaining the Increase, continued

- Brooks states
 - "We will surely make substantial progress over the next 40 years; an order of magnitude over 40 years is hardly magical..."

Obtaining the Increase

- Some people interpreted Brooks as saying that the essence could never be attacked
 - That's not his point however; he said that no single technique could produce an order of magnitude increase by itself
- He argued that several techniques in tandem could achieve that goal but that requires industry-wide enforcement and discipline

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000 18

April 19, 2000 'Kenn eth M. Anderson, 2000