



Frameworks

Advanced Machine Learning for NLP Jordan Boyd-Graber

Slides adapted from Chris Dyer, Yoav Goldberg, Graham Neubig

| Language | Neural-Nets |
|---|-------------------------------------|
| Disperate structured (graphs troos) | Continuous: poor native support for |
| Discrete, structured (graphs, trees) | structure |
| Big challenge: writing code that translates between the | |
| {discrete-structured, continuous} regimes | |

- Computation graphs (general)
- Neural Nets in DyNet
- RNNs
- New functions

Expression

 \vec{x}

graph:



Expression \vec{x}^{\top}



- Edge: function argument / data dependency
- A node with an incoming edge is a function $F \equiv f(u)$ edge's tail node
- A node computes its value and the value of its derivative w.r.t each argument (edge) times a derivative ²/_{∂u}

Expression

 $\vec{x}^{\top}A$

graph:



Functions can be nullary, unary, binary, ... n-ary. Often they are unary or binary.

Expression

 $\vec{x}^{\top}Ax$

graph:



Computation graphs are (usually) directed and acyclic

Expression $\vec{x}^{\top}Ax$

graph:



Expression $\vec{x}^{T}Ax + b \cdot \vec{x} + c$



Expression $y = \vec{x}^{\top}Ax + b \cdot \vec{x} + c$



Variable names label nodes

- Graph construction
- Forward propagation
 - Loop over nodes in topological order
 - · Compute the value of the node given its inputs
 - Given my inputs, make a prediction (or compute an "error" with respect to a "target output")
- Backward propagation
 - Loop over the nodes in reverse topological order starting with a final goal node
 - Compute derivatives of final goal node value with respect to each edgeâĂŹs tail node
 - o How does the output change if I make a small change to the inputs?

















Static declaration

- Define architecture, run data through
- PROS: Optimization, hardware support
- CONS: Structured data ugly, graph language

Torch, Theano, Tensorflow

Dynamic declaration

- Graph implicit with data
- PROS: Native language, interleave construction/evaluation
- CONS: Slower, computation can be wasted

Stan, Chainer, DyNet

Static declaration

- Define architecture, run data through
- PROS: Optimization, hardware support
- CONS: Structured data ugly, graph language

Torch, Theano, Tensorflow

Dynamic declaration

- Graph implicit with data
- PROS: Native language, interleave construction/evaluation
- CONS: Slower, computation can be wasted

Stan, Chainer, DyNet

• Language is hierarchical

Dynamic Hierarchy in Language



Phrases

Documents



●●●● The characters were wooden and the plot was absurd.

●●●● That being said, I liked it.

- Language is hierarchical
 - Graph should reflect this reality
 - Traditional flow-control best for processing
- Combinatorial algorithms (e.g., dynamic programming)
- Exploit independencies to compute over a large space of operations tractably

- Before DyNet:
 - AD libraries are fast and good, lack deep learning must-haves (GPUs, optimization algorithms, primitives for implementing RNNs, etc.)
 - Deep learning toolkits don't support dynamic graphs well
- DyNet is a hybrid between a generic autodiff library and a Deep learning toolkit
 - It has the flexibility of a good AD library
 - It has most obligatory DL primitives¹
 - Useful for RL over structure (need this later)

¹Although the emphasis is dynamic operation, it can run perfectly well in "static mode". It's quite fast too! But if you're happy with that, probably stick to TensorFlow/Theano/Torch.

- C++ backend based on Eigen (like TensorFlow)
- Custom ("quirky") memory management
- A few well-hidden assumptions make the graph construction and execution very fast.
- Thin Python wrapper on C++ API