



Language Models

Advanced Machine Learning for NLP Jordan Boyd-Graber KNESSER-NEY AND BAYESIAN NONPARAMETRICS

- Some words are "sticky"
- "San Francisco" is very common (high ungram)
- · But Francisco only appears after one word

- Some words are "sticky"
- "San Francisco" is very common (high ungram)
- But Francisco only appears after one word
- Our goal: to tell a statistical story of bay area restaurants to account for this phenomenon

- It is a distribution over the next word in a sentence
- Given the previous n-1 words

- It is a distribution over the next word in a sentence
- Given the previous n-1 words
- The challenge: backoff and sparsity











But this is just Maximum Likelihood

Why are we talking about Chinese Restaurants?













What can be a base distribution?

Uniform (Dirichlet smoothing)



What can be a base distribution?

- Uniform (Dirichlet smoothing)
- Specific contexts → less-specific contexts (backoff)



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant

<s> Restaurant

b Restaurant

a Restaurant

Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant

<s> Restaurant

b Restaurant

*

a Restaurant

Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant

*

*

<s> Restaurant

b Restaurant

a Restaurant

Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

a

b Restaurant

a Restaurant











Dataset:





а

c Restaurant

а













<s> Restaurant</s>	a Restaurant
b Restaurant	c Restaurant



Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant</s>	a Restaurant
b Restaurant	c Restaurant

Dataset:

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant</s>	a Restaurant
b Restaurant	c Restaurant

Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant


Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant

Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

а

b Restaurant

a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



<s> Restaurant

а

b Restaurant



a Restaurant



Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>





Dataset:

а

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant



 <s> Restaurant
 a Restaurant

 a¹
 a² b¹ c¹

 b Restaurant
 c Restaurant

*

Dataset:

<s> a a a b a c </s>

Unigram Restaurant

 $a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}</s>^{1}$

 <s> Restaurant
 a Restaurant

 a 1
 a 2 b 1 c 1

 b Restaurant
 c Restaurant

 a 1

San Francisco

- San Francisco
- Star Spangled Banner

- San Francisco
- Star Spangled Banner
- Bottom Line: Counts go to the context that explains it best

The rich get richer



$$p(w = \mathbf{x} | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context *u*
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(1)

- Word type x
- Seating assignments s
- Concentration θ
- Context u
- Number seated at table serving x in restaurant u, cu,x
- Number seated at all tables in restaurant u, cu,.
- The backoff context $\pi(u)$

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$



b Restaurant a)¹ $p(w = b|...) = \frac{c_{a,b}}{\theta + c_{u,c}} + \frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,c}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))$ (2)

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$



b Restaurant a)¹ $p(w = b|...) = \frac{c_{a,b}}{\theta + c_{u,c}} + \frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,c}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))$ (2)

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$



b Restaurant a¹ $p(w = b|...) = \frac{1}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} + \frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))$ (2) **Example:** $p(w = b | \vec{s}, \theta = 1.0, u = a)$

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$

<s> Restaurant</s>	a Restaurant
al	

b Restaurant
a)¹

$$p(w = b|...) = \frac{1}{1.0 + c_{u,\cdot}} + \frac{1.0}{1.0 + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))$$
(2)

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$





$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$





$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$





$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$





Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$



b Restaurant a^{1} $p(w = b|...) = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{c_{\emptyset,b}}{c_{\emptyset,\cdot} + \theta} + \frac{\theta}{c_{\emptyset,\cdot} + \theta} \frac{1}{V} \right)$ (2)

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$



b Restaurant a¹ $p(w = b|...) = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{c_{\emptyset,b}}{c_{\emptyset,\cdot} + \theta} + \frac{\theta}{c_{\emptyset,\cdot} + \theta} \frac{1}{5} \right)$ (2)

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$





$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}^{1}$$





$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$





Example: $p(w = b | \vec{s}, \theta = 1.0, u = a)$

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$



b Restaurant
a)¹

$$p(w = b|...) = \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{7}\frac{1}{5}\right) = 0.24$$
(2)

- Empirically, it helps favor the backoff if you have more tables
- Otherwise, it gets too close to maximum likelihood
- Idea is called *discounting*
- Steal a little bit of probability mass δ from every table and give it to the new table (backoff)

- Empirically, it helps favor the backoff if you have more tables
- Otherwise, it gets too close to maximum likelihood
- Idea is called *discounting*
- Steal a little bit of probability mass δ from every table and give it to the new table (backoff)

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x}}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(3)

- Empirically, it helps favor the backoff if you have more tables
- Otherwise, it gets too close to maximum likelihood
- Idea is called *discounting*
- Steal a little bit of probability mass δ from every table and give it to the new table (backoff)

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x} - \delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta + T\delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(3)
- Empirically, it helps favor the backoff if you have more tables
- Otherwise, it gets too close to maximum likelihood
- Idea is called *discounting*
- Steal a little bit of probability mass δ from every table and give it to the new table (backoff)

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x} - \delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta + T\delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(3)

- Empirically, it helps favor the backoff if you have more tables
- Otherwise, it gets too close to maximum likelihood
- Idea is called *discounting*
- Steal a little bit of probability mass δ from every table and give it to the new table (backoff)

$$p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, u) = \underbrace{\frac{c_{u,x} - \delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}}}_{\text{existing table}} + \underbrace{\frac{\theta + T\delta}{\theta + c_{u,\cdot}} p(w = x | \vec{s}, \theta, \pi(u))}_{\text{new table}}$$
(3)

Interpolated Kneser-Ney!

- Interpolated Kneser-Ney assumes one table with a dish (word) per restaurant (known as minimal path assumption)
- Can get slightly better performance by assuming you can have duplicated tables: Pitman-Yor language model
- Requires Gibbs Sampling of the seating assignments
 - Initialize seating assignments
 - Remove word from context
 - Add it back in (seating probabilistically)

- Start with restaurant we had before
- Assume you see $\langle s \rangle$ b b a c $\langle s \rangle$; add those counts to tables
- Compute probability of b following a ($\theta = 1.0, \delta = 0.5$)
- Compute the probability of a following b
- Compute probability of </s> following <s>

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$

<s> Restaurant

a

b Restaurant



a Restaurant a 2 b c 1

c Restaurant	
1	

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{1}c^{1}$$

<s> Restaurant

b Restaurant

a Restaurant

$$a^{2}b^{1}c^{1}$$

c Restaurant

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{2}c^{1}($$

<s> Restaurant



b Restaurant

a Restaurant $a^{2}b^{1}c^{1}$ c Restaurant

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^{3}b^{2}c^{1}^{1}$$

<s> Restaurant

a Restaurant



Unigram Restaurant

$$a^3 b^3 c^1 ^1$$



b Restaurant



a Restaurant

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^3 b^3 c^1 ^1$$

<s> Restaurant







Unigram Restaurant

$$\begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix}^3 \begin{bmatrix} b \end{bmatrix}^3 \begin{bmatrix} c \end{bmatrix}^1 \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \end{bmatrix}^1$$

<s> Restaurant



b Restaurant

a Restaurant $a^{2}b^{1}c^{2}$

Unigram Restaurant

$$a^3 b^3 c^1 ^1$$



$$a^{2}b^{1}c^{2}$$
c Restaurant
2

a Restaurant

Unigram Restaurant

a b
$$C^{1} < s^{1}$$

<s> Restaurant

 a Restaurant $a^{2}b^{1}c^{2}$

b Restaurant



c Restaurant

 $</s>^{2}$

As you see more data, bottom restaurants do more work.

$$= \frac{1-\delta}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+3\delta}{\theta+5} p(b)$$

$$= \frac{1-\delta}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+3\delta}{\theta+5} \left(\frac{3-\delta}{\theta+8} + \frac{\theta+4\delta}{\theta+8} \frac{1}{V}\right)$$
(5)

(6)

$$=\frac{1-\delta}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+3\delta}{\theta+5}p(b) \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{1-\delta}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+3\delta}{\theta+5} \left(\frac{3-\delta}{\theta+8} + \frac{\theta+4\delta}{\theta+8} \frac{1}{V} \right)$$
(5)
(6)

$$= \frac{1-\delta}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+3\delta}{\theta+5} p(b)$$

$$1-\delta \quad \theta+3\delta \left(3-\delta \quad \theta+4\delta \ 1\right)$$
(4)

$$= \frac{1}{\theta+5} + \frac{\theta+60}{\theta+5} \left(\frac{\theta}{\theta+8} + \frac{\theta+10}{\theta+8} \frac{1}{V} \right)$$
(5)
(6)

0.23

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3} + \frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3}p(a)$$
(7)

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3}+\frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3}\left(\frac{3-\delta}{\theta+8}+\frac{\theta+4\delta}{\theta+8}\frac{1}{V}\right)$$
(8)

(9)

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3} + \frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3}p(a)$$
(7)

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3} + \frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3} \left(\frac{3-\delta}{\theta+8} + \frac{\theta+4\delta}{\theta+8}\frac{1}{V}\right)$$
(8)
(9)

Advanced Machine Learning for NLP | Boyd-Graber

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3} + \frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3}p(a)$$
(7)

$$=\frac{2-\delta}{\theta+3}+\frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+3}\left(\frac{3-\delta}{\theta+8}+\frac{\theta+4\delta}{\theta+8}\frac{1}{V}\right)$$
(8)
(9)

0.55

$$=\frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+2}p()$$
(10)

$$= \frac{\theta + 2\delta}{\theta + 2} \left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\theta + 8} + \frac{\theta + 4\delta}{\theta + 8} \frac{1}{V} \right) \tag{11}$$

(12)

$$=\frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+2}p()$$
(10)

$$= \frac{\theta + 2\delta}{\theta + 2} \left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\theta + 8} + \frac{\theta + 4\delta}{\theta + 8} \frac{1}{V} \right) \tag{11}$$

(12)

$$=\frac{\theta+2\delta}{\theta+2}p()$$
(10)

$$= \frac{\theta + 2\delta}{\theta + 2} \left(\frac{1 - \delta}{\theta + 8} + \frac{\theta + 4\delta}{\theta + 8} \frac{1}{V} \right)$$
(11)

(12)

0.08