# Scene Classification

#### Danna Gurari

#### University of Colorado Boulder Fall 2021



https://home.cs.colorado.edu/~DrG/Courses/RecentAdvancesInComputerVision/AboutCourse.html

### Review

- Last week:
  - ImageNet Challenge Top Performers
  - Baseline Model: AlexNet
  - VGG
  - ResNet
  - Discussion
- Assignments (Canvas)
  - Reading assignment was due today
  - New reading assignment out later today that is due next week
- Questions?

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

### Scene Classification: Image Classification Problem

• Assign an image a label from a set of categories (i.e., multiple choice)



## Scene Classification: Image Classification Problem

• Assign an image a label from a set of categories (i.e., multiple choice)



## Scene Classification: Image Classification Problem

• Problem: What place is shown in the image?



# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

# Photo Organization



Demo: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=aBqmWUalnho (start video at 1:46)

#### Image Search



## Assistive Technology



Seeing AI Demo: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=R2mC-NUAmMk

## Urban Planning

# People's *well-being* is correlated with *scenic* places



Dataset: http://scenicornot.datasciencelab.co.uk/

Chanuki Illushka Seresinhe et al. Happiness is greater in more scenic locations. *Scientific reports,* 2019.

https://www.economist.com/science-and-technology/2017/07/20/computer-analysis-of-what-is-scenic-may-help-town-planners

# Urban Planning, Natural Hazard Detection, and Environmental Monitoring (via Remote Sensing)



Gong Cheng, Junwei Han, and Xiaoqiang Lu. Proceedings of the IEEE 2017.

Can you think of any other potential applications?

# What Other Vision Tasks/Applications Can Scene Classification Can Help With?



- Object Recognition
  - e.g., What would you expect (or not expect) to find in the scene [now, earlier, later]?
- Activity Recognition/Prediction
  - e.g., What would you expect people to do (or not do) in the scene [now, earlier, later]?

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

### Motivation for Scene Classification Datasets

What commonality/limitation do you observe for object recognition images (e.g., ImageNet)?



Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, & Li Fei-Fei. ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. CVPR 2009.

### Motivation for Scene Classification Datasets

What commonality/limitation do you observe for object recognition images (e.g., ImageNet)?



Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, & Li Fei-Fei. ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. CVPR 2009.

#### Motivation for Scene Classification Datasets

#### Images are **iconic** (i.e., objects are in the center of the images)!



Jia Deng, Wei Dong, Richard Socher, Li-Jia Li, Kai Li, & Li Fei-Fei. ImageNet: A Large-Scale Hierarchical Image Database. CVPR 2009.

#### Scene Classification Datasets



### 8-Scenes

Taxonomy Source: unclear

**Image Source**: COREL stock photo library, personal photographs, Google image search engine

**Image Type:** 256x256 resolution of roughly even amounts of natural and urban environments



Dataset: https://people.csail.mit.edu/torralba/code/spatialenvelope/

Aude Oliva and Antonio Torralba. Modeling the Shape of the Scene: A Holistic Representation of the Spatial Envelope. IJCV 2001.

#### 15-Scenes

Taxonomy Source: unclear

Image Source: COREL stock photo library, personal photographs, Google image search engine (contains 8-scenes dataset)



Dataset: https://www.kaggle.com/zaiyankhan/15scene-dataset

Fei Fei Li and Pietro Perona. A Bayesian Hierarchical Model for Learning Natural Scene Categories. CVPR 2005.

Svetlana Labeznik et al. Beyond Bags of Features: Spatial Pyramid Matching for Recognizing Natural Scene Categories. CVPR 2005.

#### Scene Classification Datasets







entity

#### 1. Category Selection

75,000 non-abstract nouns from WordNet

Images downloaded for 8 months from 7 online image search engines to 32x32 resolution

2. Image Collection



(Adapted from slides by Antonio Torralba)





#### Why "tiny" images?

Idea: What resolution does a human need to recognize a scene?

#### Study:

- 6 participants
- 585 color images
- Classify as 1 of 15 scene categories
- Images presented at 5 possible resolutions (8<sup>2</sup>, 16<sup>2</sup> 32<sup>2</sup>, 64<sup>2</sup>, 256<sup>2</sup>)

#### MIT Indoor67

1. Category Selection

67 categories for 5 domains



Ariadna Quattoni & Antonio Torralba. Recognizing Indoor Scenes. CVPR 2009.

## MIT Indoor67

#### 1. Category Selection

67 categories for 5 domains

2. Image Collection

Images downloaded from 2 image search tools, 1 online photo sharing sites, and 1 vision dataset



Ariadna Quattoni & Antonio Torralba. Recognizing Indoor Scenes. CVPR 2009.

#### Scene Classification Datasets



## New Typical Process for Creating a Dataset



- 1. Category Selection
- From 70,000 categories in "Tiny Images" (WordNet), chose 908 categories describing scenes, places, and environments, excluding:
- names of specific places
   (e.g., New York)
   non-navigable scenes
- 3) "mature" data
- Extra categories; e.g., mission, jewelry store



Jianxiong Xiao et al. SUN Database: Large-scale Scene Recognition from Abbey to Zoo. CVPR 2010.

- 1. Category Selection
- From 70,000 categories in "Tiny Images" (WordNet), chose 908 categories describing scenes, places, and environments, excluding:
  1) pamoa of apositic places
- 1) names of specific places
- (e.g., New York)
- 2) non-navigable scenes
- 3) "mature" data
- Extra categories; e.g., mission, jewelry store

#### **Category Validation Experiment:**

- 7 subjects wrote every 30 minutes the name

of the scene category for their location

- All resulting 52 categories were in SUN

Jianxiong Xiao et al. SUN Database: Large-scale Scene Recognition from Abbey to Zoo. CVPR 2010.

#### 1. Category Selection

- From 70,000 categories in "Tiny Images" (WordNet), chose 908 categories describing scenes, places, and environments, excluding: 1) names of specific places (e.g., New York) 2) non-navigable scenes 3) "mature" data - Extra categories; e.g., mission, jewelry store

#### 2. Image Collection

- Downloaded from search engines
- Automatically discarded images that are:
- 1) not color
- 2) less than 200x200
- 3) very blurry or noisy
- 4) aerial views
- 5) duplicates



(Adapted from slides by Antonio Torralba)

#### 1. Category Selection

- From 70,000 categories in "Tiny Images" (WordNet), chose 908 categories describing scenes, places, and environments, excluding: 1) names of specific places (e.g., New York) 2) non-navigable scenes 3) "mature" data - Extra categories; e.g.,

mission, jewelry store





#### 3. Human Verification

- 9 in-house people reviewed & discarded irrelevant images

Result is 130,519 images
spanning 397 categories with
>99 images per category

Jianxiong Xiao et al. SUN Database: Large-scale Scene Recognition from Abbey to Zoo. CVPR 2010.
## New Typical Process for Creating a Dataset



### Scene Classification Datasets



1. Category Selection





### 1. Category Selection

Same taxonomy as SUN

### 2. Image Collection

- Downloaded images from three search engines; query terms were 696 common adjectives (messy, spare, sunny, desolate, etc) with each scene category

Automatically discarded images that are:
1) not color
2) less than 200x200

**Google** Mage Search



1. Category Selection	2. Image Collection	3. Human Verification
Same taxonomy as SUN	<ul> <li>Downloaded images from three search engines; query terms were 696 common adjectives (messy, spare, sunny, desolate, etc) with each scene category</li> <li>Automatically discarded images that are:         <ol> <li>not color</li> <li>less than 200x200</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	- AMT crowd workers identified (ir)relevant images for batches of 750 images
		- Result is 7,076,580 images spanning 476 categories







1. Task Design 2. Crowdsourcing Platform Instructions: sat Is this a cliff scene? amazon mechanical turk" Artificial Artificial Intelligence Interface: anuction Is this a cliff scene? Submit (750 in finition: a high, steep or overhanging face of rock.



## Places205 Summary

1. Category Selection	2. Image Collection	3. Human Verification			
Same taxonomy as SUN	<ul> <li>Downloaded images from three search engines; query terms were 696 common adjectives (messy, spare, sunny, desolate, etc) with each scene category</li> <li>Automatically discarded images that are:         <ol> <li>not color</li> <li>less than 200x200</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>- AMT crowd workers identified (ir)relevant images for batches of 750 images</li> <li>- Result is 7,076,580 images spanning 476 categories</li> </ul>			

# Scene Classification: Places Challenge



### Results

#### Contents:

- Summary: There are totally 92 valid submissions from 27 teams. Hikvision won the 1st place with 0.0901 top-5 error, MW won the 2nd place with 0.1019 top-5 error, and Trimps-Soushen won the 3rd place with 0.1030 top-5 error. Congratulations to all the teams. See below for the leaderboard and the team information.
- Rule: Each teams can only use the provided data in Places2 Challenge 2016 to train their networks. Standard pre-trained CNN models
  trained on Imagenet-1.2million and previous Places are allowed to use. Each teams can submit at most 5 prediction results. Ranks are based
  on the top-5 classification error of each submission.
- Scene classification with provided training data
- Team information

## What Are Limitations of the Dataset?

- "... the authors did not translate their image queries like ImageNet did. By not translating these queries, the authors may have missed out on a good portion of images that represent non-eurocentric scenes; a model deployed using Places could be less accurate in classifying scenes in non English speaking countries."
- "It is doubtful how scenes can be categorized by adjectives, which can be quite subjective... For instance, in Figure 1 there are images of teenage bedrooms, romantic bedrooms, and so on. Are there really clear definitions in these categories? What if a teenager grows up and still uses the same room without remodeling what category does that room fall in, then? Not to mention how subjective it is to define a room romantic. I find some of the sample images spooky in that category, and I would definitely not mark them as romantic."
- "... if an image contains the sea, the coast, and the mountains behind the coast, how should we categorize this image? In my opinion, scenes can be more than just a place or a category..."

### Scene Classification Datasets



### LSUN

### 1. Category Selection

10 scene categories from SUN

## LSUN

### 1. Category Selection

10 scene categories from SUN

### 2. Image Collection

- Downloaded images from Google Images; query terms were 696 common adjectives (messy, spare, sunny, desolate, etc) with each scene category for all 3-day time spans since 2009

- Automatically discarded images that are < 256 x 256



## LSUN



# LSUN Label Verification with Humans in the Loop



(2) Interface design & Human labelling

## Scene Classification Datasets: LSUN Challenge



#### Morning Session: Scene Understanding Workshop (SUNw'17)

Organizers: Bolei Zhou, Aditya Khosla, Jianxiong Xiao, James Hays

#### Afternoon Session: Large SUN Challenge (LSUN'17)

Organizers: Fisher Yu, Peter Kontschieder, Shuran Song, Ming Jiang, Yinda Zhang, Catherine Qi Zhao, Thomas Funkhouser, Jianxiong Xiao

## What Are Limitations of the Dataset?

- Same limitations as discussed for Places and...
- "It only contains 10 categories, which does not make it very practical as there are many scenes in the real world."

### Scene Classification Datasets



**# Images:** 300 4,485 79,302,017 15,620 130,519 2,448,873 10,000,000

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

## Same Metric As For The ImageNet Challenge

Assumption: 1 ground truth label per image

Error is average over all test images using this rule per image:

- \* 0 if any predictions match the ground truth
- \* 1 otherwise

e.g., top 5 error

### Steel drum



Source: https://image-net.org/static\_files/files/ILSVRC2017\_overview.pdf

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

### Recall Computer Vision Revolution: Algorithm Design Shifted from Handcrafted to Computer-Learned Rules



### What Neural Networks Learn



Figure Source: https://www.datacamp.com/community/tutorials/neural-network-models-r

## Deep Features: AlexNet

- What is the dimensionality of the fc6 feature?
- What is the dimensionality of the fc7 feature?



Image Source: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Architecture-of-Alexnet-From-left-to-right-input-to-output-five-convolutional-layers\_fig2\_312303454

## Deep Features: e.g., To Use FC7 Layer of AlexNet



Image Source: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/Architecture-of-Alexnet-From-left-to-right-input-to-output-five-convolutional-layers\_fig2\_312303454

### Deep Features: Which Layer to Use In a Model?



Figure Credit: Yann LeCun

# What Neural Networks Learn

A pretrained network can be "fine-tuned" for a different dataset and/or task



Figure Source: https://www.datacamp.com/community/tutorials/neural-network-models-r

# Fine-Tuning (aka, Transfer Learning)

Use pretrained network as a starting point to train for a different dataset and/or task; e.g.,



Image Source: https://www.mathworks.com/help/deeplearning/ug/transfer-learning-using-alexnet.html

# Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

- Model: AlexNet deep features followed by SVM classifier
- Experimental Design and Results: test on 3 different test sets



The larger Places dataset leads to better **cross-dataset** performance than existing datasets! Bolei Zhou et al. Learning Deep Features for Scene Recognition using Places Database. NIPS 2014.

- Model: AlexNet architecture
- Experimental Design and Results: test on Places dataset



Overall, augmenting the larger LSUN dataset leads to better performance! (i.e., 22.2% vs 28.6% error)

- Model: AlexNet architecture
- Experimental Design and Results: test on Places dataset



# Why do you think some categories had worse results when trained with the larger LSUN dataset?

Research Question: Which Dataset Leads to Better Deep Features for Image Classification Tasks?

- Model: AlexNet deep feature (FC7 layer) followed by SVM classifier
- Experimental Design and Results: test on 8 different test sets

	SUN397	MIT Indoor67	Scene15	SUN Attribute	Caltech101	Caltech256	Action40	Event8
Places-CNN feature								
ImageNet-CNN feature								
Research Question: Which Dataset Leads to Better Deep Features for Image Classification Tasks?

- Model: AlexNet deep feature (FC7 layer) followed by SVM classifier
- Experimental Design and Results: test on 8 different test sets

	Places better for scene classification datasets!				lmag re					
Diagon CNIN facture	SUN397	MI	T Indoor67	Scene	15	SUN Attribute	Caltech101	Caltech256	Action40	Event8
ImageNet-CNN feature	54.52±0.14 42.61±0.16		<b>68.24</b> 56.79	90.19±0 84.23±0	0 <b>.34</b> 0.37	<b>91.29</b> 89.85	65.18±0.88 87.22±0.92	45.59±0.31 67.23±0.27	42.86±0.25 54.92±0.33	94.12±0.99 94.42±0.76
		V								

State-of-art performance

Bolei Zhou et al. Learning Deep Features for Scene Recognition using Places Database. NIPS 2014.

Research Question: Which Dataset Leads to Better Deep Features for Image Classification Tasks?

- Model: AlexNet deep feature (FC7 layer) followed by SVM classifier
- Experimental Design and Results: test on 8 different test sets

	SUN397	MIT Indoor67	Scene15	SUN Attribute	Caltech101	Caltech256	Action40	Event8			
Places-CNN feature	54.32±0.14	68.24	90.19±0.34	91.29	65.18±0.88	45.59±0.31	$42.86 \pm 0.25$	94.12±0.99			
ImageNet-CNN feature	$42.61 \pm 0.16$	56.79	84.23±0.37	89.85	87.22±0.92	67.23±0.27	54.92±0.33	94.42±0.76			
Hybrid dataset	$53.86 \pm 0.21$	70.80	91.59±0.48	91.56	84.79±0.66	$65.06 \pm 0.25$	55.28±0.64	$94.22 \pm 0.78$			
(datasets combined to predict 1183 categories)						/					
		Combining the datasets yields an									
			improvement for half the datasets								

Bolei Zhou et al. Learning Deep Features for Scene Recognition using Places Database. NIPS 2014.

Research Question: Which Dataset Leads to Better Deep Features for Image Classification Tasks?

- Model: AlexNet deep feature (FC7 layer) followed by SVM classifier
- Experimental Design and Results: test on 8 different test sets

					_			
	SUN397	MIT Indoor67	Scene15	SUN Attribute	Caltech101	Caltech256	Action40	Event8
Places-CNN feature	54.32±0.14	68.24	90.19±0.34	91.29	$65.18 {\pm} 0.88$	45.59±0.31	$42.86 \pm 0.25$	94.12±0.99
ImageNet-CNN feature	$42.61 \pm 0.16$	56.79	$84.23 {\pm} 0.37$	89.85	$\textbf{87.22}{\pm}\textbf{0.92}$	67.23±0.27	$54.92{\pm}0.33$	94.42±0.76
Hybrid dataset	53.86±0.21	70.80	91.59±0.48	91.56	84.79±0.66	$65.06 \pm 0.25$	55.28±0.64	94.22±0.78
(1, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,								

(datasets combined to

predict 1183 categories)

## What are limitations of what we can learn from these experiments about which deep features to use when?

Bolei Zhou et al. Learning Deep Features for Scene Recognition using Places Database. NIPS 2014.

## Scene Classification: Today's Topics

- Problem
- Applications
- Evolution of Datasets
- Evaluation Metrics
- Background: Deep Features and Fine-Tuning
- Computer Vision Models

