

September 4, 2008

## 1. Preliminaries

- a. Define an (r,i)-reaction.
- b. The set of all (r,i)-reactions over a background set  $S$ . This includes only those reactions,  $a$ , in which  $R_a \cap I_a = \emptyset$ . The notation is  $\text{rac}(S,r,i)$ .
- c. Set of all  $m$ -element subsets of  $S$  is denoted by  $\text{subset}(S,m)$ .
- d.  $\text{en}_A(T)$  is the set of all reactions in  $A$  that are enabled by  $T$ .

## 2. Probability That a Reaction Is Enabled

This section develops formulae for the probability that a random reaction is enabled for a random state. In particular, we develop closed formulae for various forms of the following definition:

---

**Definition 1.** Let  $r, i, n$  and  $m$  be integers with  $n \geq r + i \geq 2$  and  $n \geq m \geq r$ . The notation  $\text{prob}_{\text{enabled}}(r,i,n,m)$  denotes the probability that a random  $(r,i)$ -reaction over an  $n$ -element background set is enabled by a random  $m$ -element subset of the background set.

**Example 1.** Let  $r = 3, i = 1, n = 100$  and  $m = 50$ .

For any fixed 100-element background set  $S$ , there are 15,684,900 combinations of a three-element reactant set,  $R$ , and a singleton inhibitor,  $I$  (with  $R$  and  $I$  disjoint). For any given 50-element subset  $T \subseteq S$ , exactly 980,000 of those combinations result in an enabled reaction (in which  $R \subseteq T$  and  $I \cap T = \emptyset$ ).

Therefore  $\text{prob}_{\text{enabled}}(3, 1, 100, 50)$  is  $980,000/15,684,900$  (approximately 0.06248).

---

We next develop a closed formula for the special case of  $\text{prob}_{\text{enabled}}(3, 1, n, m)$ . Later, the formula is generalized for any  $r$  and  $i$ , and a limit version of the formula is shown for any fraction  $s \in [0..1]$  to be:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{prob}_{\text{enabled}}(r, i, n, \lceil sn \rceil) = (1-s)^i s^r$$

## 2.1. Probability That a (3,1)-Reaction Is Enabled

Let  $a$  be some (3,1)-reaction over a background set  $S$  of  $n$  elements (with  $n \geq 4$ ). Recall that our definition requires  $a$ 's one inhibitor is not also in its three-element reactant set (since otherwise, it has no chance of ever being enabled).

What is the probability that  $a$  is enabled by a random  $m$ -element subset  $T \subseteq S$ ? We assume that  $n \geq m \geq 3$ . For  $a$  to be enabled, its one inhibitor must not appear in  $T$ , and this non-appearance has a probability of:

$$\frac{n-m}{n}$$

We also require that the reactant set of  $a$  is a subset of  $T$ ; given that the inhibitor is not in  $T$ , this probability (that  $R_a \subseteq T$ ) is:

$$\frac{m}{n-1} \times \frac{m-1}{n-2} \times \frac{m-2}{n-3}$$

The first factor in this product is the probability that the first reactant of  $R_a$  is among the  $m$  reactants of  $T$ . Each of these reactants of  $T$  comes from the background set minus the one inhibitor of  $a$  (which was given as not in  $T$ ). Similarly, the next two factors are the probability that the second and third reactants of  $R_a$  are in  $T$  (given that the earlier reactants were also in  $T$ ).

Multiplying all the terms together and simplifying gives:

---

**Theorem 1.** Let  $n$  and  $m$  be integers with  $n \geq 4$  and  $n \geq m \geq 3$ . Then:

$$prob_{enabled}(3, 1, n, m) = \frac{n-m}{n} \times \frac{m}{n-1} \times \frac{m-1}{n-2} \times \frac{m-2}{n-3}$$

---

As  $n$  grows large and we hold  $m$  at a fixed proportion of  $n$  (with  $m = \lceil tn \rceil$  for some fixed  $t$ ), the first term in the formula of Theorem 1 approaches  $(1-t)$  and the last three terms each approach  $t$ . So, we also have a limit version of the result:

---

**Theorem 2.** Let  $t \in [0..1]$  be a constant. Then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{prob}_{\text{enabled}}(n, \lceil tn \rceil, 3, 1) = (1-t)t^3$$

**Example 2.** Consider the case where the background set  $S$  grows larger and larger and we allow a subset  $T$  to be continually maintained at  $t = \frac{3}{4}$  the size of  $S$ . As the size of  $S$  goes to infinity, the probability that a random (3,1)-reaction is enabled by  $T$  goes to  $(1-0.75) \times 0.75^3$ , which is a bit more than 10%.

---

## 2.2. Probability That an $(r,i)$ -Reaction Is Enabled

This section generalizes the results of the previous section to the case of an  $(r,i)$ -reaction. For this, we consider  $a$  to be an  $(r,i)$ -reaction over a background set of  $n$  elements with  $n \geq r + i$ . As always, the reactant set of  $a$  is disjoint from its inhibitor state.

For this more general case, what is the probability that  $a$  is enabled by an  $m$ -element subset  $T$  (with  $n \geq m \geq r$ )? For this to occur, none of  $a$ 's inhibitors may appear in  $T$ , and this combined non-appearance has a probability of:

$$\frac{n-m}{n} \times \frac{n-1-m}{n-1} \times \dots \times \frac{n-(i-1)-m}{n-(i-1)} \quad (*)$$

Using factorials, this simplifies to:

$$\frac{(n-m)!(n-i)!}{(n-m-i)!n!}$$

Given that none of the inhibitors are in  $T$ , we can express the probability that all of the  $r$  reactants are in  $T$  as:

$$\frac{m}{n-i} \times \frac{m-1}{n-1-i} \times \dots \times \frac{m-(r-1)}{n-(r-1)-i} \quad (**)$$

Once again, this simplifies with factorials:

$$\frac{m!(n-r-i)!}{(m-r)!(n-i)!}$$

Multiplying the two parts of the probability together and canceling terms gives the first result of this section:

---

**Theorem 3.** Let  $n$ ,  $m$ ,  $r$  and  $i$  be natural numbers with  $n \geq r+i$  and  $n \geq m \geq r$ . Then:

$$prob_{enabled}(r, i, n, m) = \frac{(n-m)!m!(n-r-i)!}{(n-m-i)!n!(m-r)!}$$

---

In the special case of (3,1)-reactions, this simplifies to Theorem 1 from the previous section.

We'd like to find a limit version of the formula for the case where  $n$  approaches infinity and  $m$  stays at a fixed proportion of  $n$ . Using a fixed  $t \in [0..1]$  and setting  $m = \lceil tn \rceil$ , we can see that each of the  $i$  terms of the above Formula (\*) approaches  $(1-t)$  as  $n$  goes to infinity. In addition, each of the  $r$  terms of Formula (\*\*) approaches  $t$  as  $n$  goes to infinity. Therefore:

---

**Theorem 4.** Let  $t \in [0..1]$  be a real number. Also let  $r$  and  $i$  be natural numbers. Then:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} prob_{enabled}(r, i, n, \lceil tn \rceil) = (1-t)^i t^r$$

**Example 3.** Consider the case where the background set  $S$  grows larger and larger and we allow a subset  $T$  to be continually maintained at  $t = \frac{3}{4}$  the size of  $S$ . As the size of  $S$  goes to infinity, the probability that a random (5, 2)-reaction is enabled by  $T$  goes to  $(1-0.75)^2 \times 0.75^5$ , which is a bit less than 1.5%.

---

### 3. The Size of a Result State

Throughout this section, let  $r, i,$  and  $n$  be integers, let  $t \in [0..1]$ , and let  $b \in [0..\infty)$ . We assume that  $n \geq r + i \geq 0$ . Also:

- Let  $S$  be a background set of  $n$  reactants.
- Let  $B$  be a set of  $(r,i,1)$ -reactions over  $S$ ; the number of reactions in  $B$  is proportional to  $n$  via the equation  $|B| = bn$ .
- Let the state  $T \subseteq S$  be a subset of reactants; the size of  $T$  is also proportional to  $n$  via the equation  $|T| = tn$ .
- Define  $U$  to be the result set  $res_B(T)$ .

We will examine a particular case where  $b$  and  $t$  are related in a way that makes the expected size of  $U$  close to that of  $T$ .

To begin, note that when  $n$  is large, a random  $(r,i,1)$ -reaction has a probability of being enabled by  $T$  of about  $(1-t)^i t^r$  (from Theorem 4). Therefore, from the entire set  $A$  (containing  $tn$  reactions), we expect about  $bn(1-t)^i t^r$  reactions to be enabled. So, let's consider the case where  $|en_B(T)|$  is exactly  $bn(1-t)^i t^r$  (which is equal to  $nk$ ).

We want to determine the expected size of  $U$ . For this, consider any reactant  $u \in S$ . What is the probability that  $u$  is not in  $U$ ? If so, then it must not be the result of the first enabled reaction in  $en_A(T)$ , which occurs with a probability of

$$\frac{|reactions_{\bar{u}}(S,r,i,1)|}{|reactions(S,r,i,1)|}$$

Given that  $u$  is not the product of the first enabled reaction, then the probability that it is also not the result of the second enabled reaction is:

$$\frac{|reactions_{\bar{u}}(S,r,i,1)| - 1}{|reactions(S,r,i,1)| - 1}$$

Continuing this argument, the probability that  $u$  is not the result of any of the enabled reactions is obtained by the multiplicative product:

$$i = bn(1-t)^i t^r \prod_{i=1} \frac{|reactions_{\bar{u}}(S,r,i,1)| - i + 1}{|reactions(S,r,i,1)| - i + 1}$$

For a large  $n$ , each of these factors approaches  $\frac{n-1}{n}$ , so the probability that  $u$  is not the result of any of the enabled reactions is near  $\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{bn(1-t)^i t^r}$ . Once again, for a large

$n$ , this formula approaches  $\left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{b(1-t)^i t^r}$ . This is the formula that we will use as an

approximation for the probability that a reaction  $u$  is not in the result state,  $\text{res}_B(T)$ .

Therefore, the probability that a reaction  $u$  is in the result state is  $1 - \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{b(1-t)^i t^r}$ , and

this formula also gives the proportion of reactants that we expect to find in the result state.

This gives the principle result of this section:

**Theorem 5.** Let  $r$ ,  $i$ , and  $n$  be integers, let  $t \in [0..1]$ , and let  $b \in [0..\infty)$ . We assume that  $n \geq r + i \geq 0$ . Also:

- Let  $S$  be a background set of  $n$  reactants.
- Let  $B$  be a set of  $(r,i,1)$ -reactions over  $S$ ; the number of reactions in  $B$  is proportional to  $n$  via the equation  $|B| = bn$ .
- Let the state  $T \subseteq S$  be a subset of reactants; the size of  $T$  is also proportional to  $n$  via the equation  $|T| = tn$ .
- Define  $U$  to be the result set  $\text{res}_B(T)$ .

The expected size of  $U$  is approximated by  $n \left( 1 - \left(\frac{1}{e}\right)^{b(1-t)^i t^r} \right)$ .

#### 4. Simulations and Cycles

Consider a reaction system  $(S, B)$  and a state  $T \subseteq S$ . This section parameterizes these items so that the expected size of the next state,  $res_B(T)$ , is near the size of  $T$ . When this occurs, we expect the conditions to be favorable for non-trivial cycles in the reaction system, and we later examine this prognosis via random simulations of reaction systems.

More to come...