Computational Complexity. Lecture 1

P vs. NP, Deterministic Hierarchy Theorem

Alexandra Kolla

Today

- Define NP, state P vs. NP problem
- Search problems/decision problems
- Diagonalization
- Time hierarchy theorem (only known theorem which allows to show that certain problems are not in P)

 http://www.win.tue.nl/~gwoegi/P-versus-NP.htm

Computational problems

- In a computational problem:
 - We are given an input encoded over the alphabet {0,1}.
 - We want to return as output a solution satisfying some property.
 - Computational problem is then defined by the property that the output has to satisfy given the input.
- 4 natural types of problems: decision, search, optimization, counting.

Decision problems

- In a decision problem:
 - We are given an input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$
 - We are required to return a YES/NO answer (verify whether input satisfies property)
- E.g is an undirected graph 3 colorable?
- Specify decision problems with set of inputs $L \subseteq \{0,1\}^*$ for which the answer is YES (language)

Search problems

- In a search problem:
 - We are given an input $x \in \{0,1\}^*$
 - We are required to compute some answer $y \in \{0,1\}^*$ that is in some relation to x, if such y exists
- Search problems specified with relations R⊆ {0,1}*×{0,1}*, where (x,y) ∈R iff y is an admissible answer given x
- For graph 3 coloring, we would want the coloring as output if it exists (more demanding). Formally relation R₃COL contains pairs (G,c) ∈ where G is 3-colorable and c is a valid 3-coloring



- We study asymptotic complexity of problems
- Is there "feasible" algorithm for 3 coloring?
- "feasible algorithm" = runs in poly time
- P is class of decision problems solvable in poly time
- Easier to verify than come up with solution...

- P is class of decision problems solvable in poly time.
- Search problem defined by a relation R is an NP search problem if there is a poly time algorithm that given x and y decides whether (x,y) ∈ R, and if there is a polynomial p s.t. if (x,y) ∈ R, then |y|≤p(|x|).
- Captures the fact that relation is efficiently computable and solutions (if they exist) are short.

- Decision problem L is in NP if
 - (Definition 1) there is some NP relation R such that $x \in L$ iff there is y s.t. $(x,y) \in R$
 - (Definition 2) there is a poly time algorithm V(.,.) and a polynomial p s.t. $x \in L$ iff there is a y, $|y| \le p(x)$ s.t. V(x,y) accepts.
- NP= class of NP decision problems.



• **Theorem 1**. NP is the set of decision problems that are solvable in poly time by a non-deterministic Turing machine.



- NP as a complexity class is defined as class of decision problems: easier to develop cleaner theory, complexity of decision problems completely characterizes complexity of search problems.
- Theorem 2. For every NP search problem there is an NP decision problem such that if the decision problem is solvable in time t(n) then the search problem is solvable in time O(n^{O(1)} · t(n^{O(1)})). In particular, P=NP iff every NP search problem is solvable in poly time.

- For function t: $N \rightarrow N$, we define
 - DTIME(t(n)) the set of decision problems that are solvable by a deterministic Turing machine within time t(n) on inputs of length n
 - NTIME(t(n)) the set of decision problems that are solvable by a non-deterministic Turing machine within time t(n) on inputs of length n
- $\mathbf{P} = \bigcup_{k} DTIME(O(n^{k}))$
- NP= U $_{k} NTIME(O(n^{k}))$



Diagonalization

- Only known way of proving separations between complexity classes
- Similar to Cantor
- Halting Problem is undecidable



Diagonalization

- **Definition**. (Time constructible functions) A function $t: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is time constructible if there is algorithm that, on input n, computes the value t(n) in time O(t(n))
- Eg. All polynomials, all combinations of exponential, polynomial and root functions are time constructible
- Not time constructible: $t(n) = n^2$ if the number n written in binary encodes a turing machine that halts on all inputs and $t(n)=n^2+1$ otherwise

Time hierarchy theorem

Theorem (simple version). For every time-constructible function t(.), there is a language L such that every algorithm that decides L must run in time >t(n) on infinitely many inputs, but LEDTIME(t^{O(1)}(n)).

Time hierarchy theorem

 Theorem (alternative formulation). For every f time-constructible function with f(n)logf(n)=o(g(n))

 $\mathsf{DTIME}(f(n)) \subsetneq \mathsf{DTIME}(\mathsf{g}(n))$



E and EXP

- E=DTIME($2^{O(n)}$)
- EXP=UkDTIME($2^{O(n^k)}$)

Corollary. $P \neq E$ and $E \neq EXP$

Ladner's theorem and NPintermediate problems

 Theorem. If P≠NP then there exists a language L∈ NP\P that is not NPcomplete.